

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,687		06/29/2001	Kenneth R. Butcher	SE-30	6904	
28205	7590	04/13/2004		EXAMINER		
		EITH, JR. DLER & MONTEIT	RUTHKOSKY, MARK			
	•	ENUE, SUITE 101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. BOX 2985						
ASHEVI	LLE, NC	28802		DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Appliaction N		Applicant/->	
	Application No	•	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/895,687		BUTCHER ET AL.	
Omce Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Mark Ruthkosk		1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cove	er sneet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, how reply within the statutory m iod will apply and will expire atute, cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days s SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2/9/2002.			
	 This action is non-fir	nal.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for fo	rmal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application	ion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		ration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 24-28</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>21-23</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election require	ement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) 🗌 ob	jected to by the E	xaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held	l in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor				• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the	e attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been rec	eived.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume				
Copies of the certified copies of the p			d in this National S	Stage
application from the International Bur	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified c	opies not receive	d .	
				:
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) [Paper No(s)/Mail Da		, -152\
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) <u> </u>	Other:	летт Арріісаціоп (РТО	-102)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office		Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-	

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings filed on 12/9/2002 have been approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 18, 19, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633.)

The instant claims are to a system for humidifying a gas, such as a gas used in a fuel cell, comprising a housing defining a humidification chamber through which a gas travels and a humidification assembly adjacent to the housing. The assembly includes a source of humidifying liquid and a porous wick for carrying the humidifying liquid from the source into the chamber. The wick separates the source of humidifying liquid from the humidification chamber such that the humidifying liquid flows through the wick before humidifying the gas traveling through the humidification chamber.

Art Unit: 1745

Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633) teaches a system for humidifying a gas used in a fuel cell comprising a housing defining a humidification chamber through which a gas travels and a humidification as assembly adjacent to the housing. The assembly includes a source of humidifying liquid and a porous wick for carrying the humidifying liquid from the source into the chamber. The wick separates the source of humidifying liquid from the humidification chamber such that the humidifying liquid flows through the wick before humidifying the gas traveling through the humidification chamber (see the claims; col. 8, lines 60-col. 9, line 10; col. 13, figs. 4-6 and 13-15.) The humidified gas is used to hydrate the polymer electrolyte membrane of the fuel cell (col. 4, lines 30-40.) Thus, the claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633), as applied above, and further in view of Roberts et al. (US 5,458,837.)

Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633) teaches a system for humidifying a gas used in a fuel cell comprising a housing defining a humidification chamber through which a gas travels and a humidification assembly adjacent to the housing, with the assembly including a source of humidifying liquid and a porous wick for carrying the humidifying liquid from the source into

Art Unit: 1745

the chamber. The wick separates the source of humidifying liquid from the humidification chamber such that the humidifying liquid flows through the wick before humidifying the gas traveling through the humidification chamber (see the claims; col. 8, lines 60-col. 9, line 10; col. 13, figs. 4-6 and 13-15.) The humidified gas is used to hydrate the polymer electrolyte membrane of the fuel cell (col. 4, lines 30-40.)

With regard to claims 3, 4, 11 and 20, the reference is silent to the composition of the wick, and therefore, does not teach a porous wick comprising a porous, ceramic material. Porous, ceramic wicking materials are well described in the art. For example, Roberts et al. (US 5,458,837) teaches a ceramic wick material for transferring liquids including a mixture of a ceramic material, a dispersion agent and a binding agent. Alumina, zirconium and silicon compounds are noted as ceramic materials (see col. 5, line 40- col. 6, line 30; col. 22, lines 60end.) It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a ceramic wick in the invention of Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633), as the material is known in the art to transfer liquids, such as water, in a wicking manner. Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633) teaches one of ordinary skill in the art to use wicking materials in order to humidify a gas stream and one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize from the teachings of Roberts et al. (US 5,458,837) that ceramic wicks will allow for the transfer of water from a water source through the wick. Claims 4 and 11 are product by process claims where the product is prepared by a process of mixing materials. MPEP 2113 states, "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art,

Art Unit: 1745

the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." The artesian would have found the claimed invention to be obvious in light of the teachings of the references.

Claims 3, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633), as applied above, and further in view of Vic (GB 2,162,680.)

Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633) teaches a system for humidifying a gas used in a fuel cell comprising a housing defining a humidification chamber through which a gas travels and a humidification assembly adjacent to the housing, with the assembly including a source of humidifying liquid and a porous wick for carrying the humidifying liquid from the source into the chamber. The wick separates the source of humidifying liquid from the humidification chamber such that the humidifying liquid flows through the wick before humidifying the gas traveling through the humidification chamber (see the claims; col. 8, lines 60-col. 9, line 10; col. 13, figs. 4-6 and 13-15.) The humidified gas is used to hydrate the polymer electrolyte membrane of the fuel cell (col. 4, lines 30-40.)

With regard to claims 3, 5, 6, 12, 13, and 20, the reference is silent to the composition of the wick, and therefore, does not teach a porous wick comprising a porous, metal material. Metallic wicks are well described in the art. Vic (GB 2,162,680) teaches wicking materials of porous iron and nickel. The wicks have a porosity of 50-95% (page 1, col. 2.) It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a porous, metallic wick in the invention of Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633), as the material is known in the art to transfer liquids in a wicking manner. Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633)

Art Unit: 1745

teaches one of ordinary skill in the art to use wicking materials in order to humidify a gas stream and one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize from the teachings of Vic (GB 2,162,680) that porous, metallic wicks will allow for the transfer of water through the wick. It would further be obvious to adjust the porosity of the wick in order to allow for specific amounts of liquid to be passed through the porous wick. As Vic teaches the porosity volume to be 50-90%, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the porosity of a metallic wick to the degree taught in order to transfer the liquid. Claims 5, 6, 12 and 13 are product by process claims where the product is prepared by a process of mixing materials. MPEP 2113 states, "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." The artesian would have found the claimed invention to be obvious in light of the teachings of the references.

Claims 3-17, 20, and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633), as applied above, and further in view of Seidenberg (US 4,765,396.)

Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633) teaches a system for humidifying a gas used in a fuel cell comprising a housing defining a humidification chamber through which a gas travels and a humidification assembly adjacent to the housing, the assembly including a source of humidifying liquid and a porous wick for carrying the humidifying liquid from the source into the chamber.

The wick separates the source of humidifying liquid from the humidification chamber such that

Art Unit: 1745

the humidifying liquid flows through the wick before humidifying the gas traveling through the humidification chamber (see the claims; col. 8, lines 60-col. 9, line 10; col. 13, figs. 4-6 and 13-15.) The humidified gas is used to hydrate the polymer electrolyte membrane of the fuel cell (col. 4, lines 30-40.)

With regard to claims 3, 4, 11 and 20, the reference is silent to the composition of the wick, and therefore, does not teach a porous wick comprising a porous, ceramic material. With regard to claims 3, 5, 6, 12, 13, and 20, the reference is silent to the composition of the wick, and therefore, does not teach a porous wick comprising a porous, metal material. Seidenberg (US 4,765,396) teaches that ceramic and metallic porous wicks are well described in the prior art (col. 2.) Various metals and ceramics are noted. The prior art uses wicks to transfer heat, however one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that these wicks may be used to transfer fluids in the fuel cell taught by Carlstrom, Jr. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a porous, metallic or ceramic wick in the invention of Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633), as the material is known in the art to transfer fluids in a wicking manner. Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633) teaches one of ordinary skill in the art to use wicking materials in order to humidify a gas stream and one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize from the teachings of Seidenberg (US 4,765,396) that porous, metallic and ceramic wicks will allow for the transfer of water through the wick.

With regard to claims 7-10, 14-17, and 24-27 the reference is silent to the composition of the wick, and therefore, does not teach the wick comprises a specific void volume, a specific void diameter or that the voids are in a substantially circular shape at the window of each void intersection. Seidenberg (US 4,765,396), however, teaches interconnecting, porous wicks with

Art Unit: 1745

including a void volume of greater than 50% and a pore diameter of 10-12 µm (col. 5, lines 27end.) In addition, the reference teaches that one of ordinary skill in the art understands the significance of pore size and pore volume in a wick. When a pore size is small, capillary action increases, the permeability of the wick to radial and longitudinal fluid flow decreases, and the tendency to clog increases. Uniform porosity will allow for uniform flow and pressure. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the void volume and the pore sizes of the wick taught in Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633) in order to achieve a desired degree of humidity in the fuel cell. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize from the teachings of Carlstrom, Jr. et al. (US 6,015,633) that the porous wicking material will act as a damper for supplying water to the fluid flow of reactant gasses which will be adjusted to regulate and distribute water in a fuel cell reactant gas stream. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize from the teachings of Seidenberg (US 4,765,396) that the pore size and volume of the wick may be adjusted in order to adjust the flow of fluid through the wick. Thus, changes in pore size and volume would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

With regard to the limitation that the voids are in a substantially circular shape at the window of each void intersection, the prior art of record does not teach the shape of the pores.

Using the limitation "substantially circular" is considered to encompass all pore shapes as they are noted to have a uniform pore size, which is indicative of a diameter, however, the shape of the pores is considered obvious (MPEP 2144.04(d)). The artesian would have found the claimed invention to be obvious in light of the teachings of the references.

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 4, 5, 6, 11, 12 and 13 are product by process claims where the product is prepared by a process of mixing materials. MPEP 2113 states, "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." The artesian would have found the claimed invention to be obvious in light of the teachings of the references.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The instant claims include a method of humidifying gasses by forming a ceramic wick made by mixing water, nitric acid, hollow polymer spheres and zirconium, alumina or silica ceramic materials. The instant claims further include a method of forming a metallic wick by adding a metallic material to a binding agent, water, and hollow polymer spheres. The prior art does not teach a method of humidifying gasses wherein a porous wick is formed from a mixture of water, nitric acid, hollow polymer spheres and a zirconium, alumina or silica ceramic material OR a mixture of a metallic material, a binding agent, water, and hollow polymer spheres. As the prior art does not teach such a method, the claims are allowable in scope over the prior art.

Art Unit: 1745

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art does not read upon the instant claims, however, the references include general teachings and relevant features as to the state of the art at the time of the invention.

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Ruthkosky whose telephone number is 571-272-1291. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEX schedule (generally, Monday-Thursday from 9:00-6:30.) If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free.)

Mark Ruthkosky

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1745